

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,779	08/26/2003	Alan L. Backus	65476-5010	4029
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Dean Greenberg, Managing Director			FUQUA, SHAWNTINA T	
Advantage Partners IP, LLC Suite 102 5820 Oberlin Dr. San Diego, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				mv_		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/648,779	BACKUS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shawntina T. Fuqua	3742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status)		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	August 2003.		/		
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-9,12-15 and 17-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 10,11 and 16 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s)					
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Application/Control Number: 10/648,779 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because line 1 contains the implied phrase "The present invention is directed toward", and abstract contains more than 150 words.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 18 do not contain any structure for mounting the control box. It is unclear how the control box is mounted to the side wall.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,779

Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claims 5, 8, and 17 recites the limitation "the rotisserie" in lines 5, 3, and 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 12-15, and 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (US5961866) in view of Wang (US5778766).

Hansen discloses an oven (column 3, lines 35-37) comprising a cabinet (10) having a plurality of side walls (16, 18) each having an interior and exterior face (Figures 1-2), a control box (46) with an activation switch (50) wherein control box is attached to a first and second heating member (34, Figure 2), the control box is mounted on the exterior of one of the side walls and wherein the control box and heating members can be manually removed without the use of tools (Figure 2, column 5, lines 4-15), sidewalls have only a single panel (Figures 1-2), the control box is removed by pulling orthogonally away from the side wall (Figure 2), the heating member is resistive (column 3, line 67-column 4, line 2), side rails/feet (20) to support the cabinet above a horizontal surface, and the first and second heating members help support the control box (Figure 2, column 3, line 56-column 4, line 12). Hansen does not disclose a control box mounted on the exterior and heating members within the cabinet, a door which provides an opening to the cabinet, an air gap between control box and cabinet, frontal portion of cabinet is inclined, and a transparent window. Wang discloses a control box (6) mounted on the exterior (Figure 4) and heating members (12, 27) within the cabinet, a door (8) which provides an opening to the cabinet (Figure 4), an air gap between control box and cabinet (Figure 4), an inclined frontal portion (Figures 1-2), and a transparent window (3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 3742

in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the control box mounted on the exterior and heating members within the cabinet, the door which provides an opening to the cabinet, an air gap between control box and cabinet, an inclined frontal portion, and the transparent window of Wang in the oven of Hansen because, the control box mounted on the exterior and heating members within the cabinet, the door, the air gap between control box and cabinet, the inclined frontal portion, and the transparent window allows the heat to be contained in an enclosed space which allows the food to be cooked/warmed more uniformly and efficiently.

7. Claims 5 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Wang as applied to claims 1, 18, and 23 above, and further in view of Sandor et al (US6080967).

Hansen in view of Wang discloses all of the recited subject matter except a door which can slide under the oven cabinet below the horizontal surface. Sandor et al discloses a door (14) which can slide under the oven cabinet below the horizontal surface (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the sliding door of Sandor et al in the oven of Hansen and Wang because, a sliding door allows easier access to the cabinet interior.

8. Claims 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Wang as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Bullard (US5515773).

Hansen in view of Wang discloses all of the recited subject matter except a countdown timer.

Bullard discloses a countdown timer (20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the countdown timer of Bullard in the

Art Unit: 3742

oven of Hansen and Wang because, a countdown timer allows the heating period to be controlled more accurately.

Page 5

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 10-11, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record neither discloses nor suggests a light which illuminates an interior of the cabinet when the control box is mounted on the cabinet, and wherein the control box is mounted to the cabinet by means of a manually activated latch.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawntina T. Fuqua whose telephone number is (571) 272-4779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,779

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

stf March 15, 2005 Shawntina Fuqua Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3742